

Remarks

In the subject Action, the Examiner rejected claims 1-4 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 4 of U.S. Pat. No. 6,719,674. Submitted herewith is a Terminal Disclaimer.

Also submitted herewith is an Information Disclosure Statement together with a list of references and copies of references other than U.S. patents. These materials were provided by, and are being submitted at the request of, a company to which the subject patent application has been licensed.

Finally, Applicant has added claims 5-7 to depend from claim 1 and recite the invention in more particular terms; claims 8-10 to depend from claim 2 and recite the invention in more particular terms; claims 11-13 to depend from claim 3 and recite the invention in more particular terms; and claims 14-16 to depend from claim 4 and recite the invention in more particular terms.

Respectfully submitted,



Mark A. Krull
Reg. No. 34,205

(541) 385-0383